| 1 | HANSON BRIDGETT MARCUS VLAHOS & RUDY, LLP KURT A. FRANKLIN - 172715 | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2 | KEVIN D. REESE - 172992 425 Market Street, 26th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 777-3200 Facsimile: (415) 541-9366 kfranklin@hansonbridgett.com | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | kreese@hansonbridgett.com | | |
| 6 | Attorneys for Defendants FRIENDLY CAB COMPANY, INC.; FRIENDLY | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | | | |
| 9 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | |
| 10 | FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | JEAN RIKER, | No. 3:07-cv-04616-EDL | |
| 13 | Plaintiff, | ANSWER TO COMPLAINT BY DEFENDANTS FRIENDLY CAB | |
| 14 | v. | COMPANY, INC.; FRIENDLY TRANSPORTATION dba FRIENDLY CAB | |
| 15 | FRIENDLY CAB COMPANY, INC; FRIENDLY TRANSPORTATION dba | COMPANY; BALJIT SINGH; SURINDER K. SINGH; and KEVIN ITO | |
| 16 | FRIENDLY CAB COMPANY; BALJIT SINGH; SURINDER K. SINGH; KEVIN | | |
| 17 | ITO; JOHN DOE 1; and DOES 2-10, Inclusive, | Action Filed: September 6, 2007 | |
| 18 | Defendants. | | |
| 19 | Dolondants. | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | Defendants FRIENDLY CAB COMPANY, INC., FRIENDLY TRANSPORTATION | | |
| 22 | (erroneously sued herein as Friendly Transportation dba Friendly Cab Company); BALJIT | | |
| 23 | SINGH, SURINDER K. SINGH, and KEVIN ITO (hereinafter "Defendants"), by their attorneys | | |
| 24 | Hanson, Bridgett, Marcus, Vlahos & Rudy, LLP, hereby answer the Complaint of Plaintiff JEAN | | |
| 25 | RIKER (hereinafter "Plaintiff") and state as follows: | | |
| 26 | I. INTRODUCTION | | |
| 27 | 1. Defendants deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 1 of the Complaint to the | | |
| 28 | extent that the Complaint alleges that Friendly Cab Company has a policy of discriminating | | |
| | ANSWER TO COMPLAINT BY DEFENDANTS (Case No.: 3:07-cv-04616-EDL) | 1366969 1 | |

Case 3:07-cv-04616-EDL Document 9 Filed 10/18/2007 Page 1 of 10

against disabled wheelchair users. To the extent that Paragraph 1 contains allegations that are legal conclusions, Defendants neither admit or deny such allegations as they are legal conclusions to which no answer is required. Defendants lack information and belief sufficient to answer the factual allegations in Paragraph 1 regarding Plaintiff's physical condition and, basing their denial on this ground, deny each and every allegation regarding Plaintiff's physical condition. To the extent that an answer is required, and it is not expressly admitted, Defendants deny each and every allegation in this paragraph.

II. JURISDICTION

2. Defendants admit that this Court has federal question jurisdiction. Except as so expressly admitted, Defendants neither admit nor deny the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 2 of the Complaint for the reason that such allegations are legal conclusions to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is required, and it is not expressly admitted, Defendants deny each and every allegation in this paragraph.

III. VENUE

3. Defendants admit that venue in this district is appropriate. Except as so expressly admitted, Defendants deny each and every allegation in Paragraph 3 of the Complaint.

IV. INTRADISTRICT

4. Defendants neither admit nor deny the allegations in Paragraph 4 as it contains a legal conclusion for which no answer is required.

V. PARTIES

- 5. Defendants lack information and belief sufficient to answer the allegations in Paragraph 5 regarding Plaintiff's physical condition and, basing their denial on this ground, deny each and every allegation in Paragraph 5 of the Complaint regarding Plaintiff's physical condition. Defendants admit that Friendly Cab Company, Inc. operates a taxi-cab business and that such business is owned by certain named defendants. To the extent that the remaining allegations are legal conclusions, no answer is required.
- 6. Defendants neither admit nor deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 6 for the reason that such allegations are legal conclusions to which no answer is required. To the extent

-2-

that an answer is required, Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this paragraph.

7. With respect to Paragraph 7 of the Complaint, Defendant Friendly Transportation, erroneously sued as Friendly Cab Company, denies that it was an owner or operator of taxi cabs and taxi vans at the time of the alleged incident. Defendants admit that Friendly Cab Company, Inc., operates a taxi-cab business and that such business is owned by certain named defendants. To the extent that the remaining allegations are legal conclusions, no answer is required. Except as so expressly admitted, Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 7 of the Complaint.

VI. FIRST CLAIM

Denial of Full and Equal Access-Civil Code §§ 54.1 & 55

- 8. In response to Paragraph 8 of the Complaint, Defendants herein incorporate their answers to Paragraphs 1 through 7 of the Complaint, as though fully set forth herein.
- 9. Defendants lack information and belief sufficient to answer the allegations in Paragraph 9 and, basing their denial on this ground, deny each and every allegation in Paragraph 9 of the Complaint.
- 10. Defendants deny that it was Friendly Cab's policy to charge disabled individuals a different rate for standard taxi service. As to the remainder of the allegations in Paragraph 10, Defendants lack information and belief sufficient to answer theses allegations and, basing their denial on this ground, deny each and every other allegation in Paragraph 10 of the Complaint.
- 11. Defendants neither admit nor deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 11 for the reason that such allegations are legal conclusions to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this paragraph.
- 12. Defendants neither admit nor deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 12 for the reason that such allegations are legal conclusions to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this paragraph.

- 13. Defendants neither admit nor deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 13 for the reason that such allegations are legal conclusions to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this paragraph.
- 14. Defendants neither admit nor deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 14 for the reason that such allegations are legal conclusions to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this paragraph.
- 15. Defendants neither admit nor deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 15 for the reason that such allegations are legal conclusions to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this paragraph.
- 16. Defendants neither admit nor deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 16 for the reason that such allegations are legal conclusions to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this paragraph.
- 17. Defendants neither admit nor deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 17 for the reason that such allegations are legal conclusions to which no answer is required. To the extent that an answer is required, Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this paragraph.

VII. SECOND CLAIM

Violation of Unruh Civil Rights Act- Civil Code §§ 51 & 52

- 18. In response to Paragraph 18 of the Complaint, Defendants herein incorporate their answers to Paragraphs 1 through 17 of the Complaint, as though fully set forth herein.
- 19. Defendants neither admit nor deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 19 for the reason that such allegations are legal conclusions to which no answer is required. Defendants admit the allegations contained in this paragraph only to the extent the language accurately quotes particular statutes, regulations, administrative rules, and case authorities in their full and proper

context. Except as so expressly admitted, Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this paragraph.

20. Answering Paragraph 20, Defendants deny that Plaintiff is entitled to any relief, in any amount, of any kind, whatsoever, including but not limited to attorneys fees and costs.

VIII. THIRD CLAIM

Violation of ADA – 42 U.S.C. § 12101ff

- 21. In response to Paragraph 21 of the Complaint, Defendants herein incorporate their answers to Paragraphs 1 through 20 of the Complaint, as though fully set forth herein.
- 22. Defendants neither admit nor deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 22 for the reason that such allegations are legal conclusions to which no answer is required. Except as so expressly admitted, Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this paragraph.
- 23. Defendants neither admit nor deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 23 for the reason that such allegations are legal conclusions to which no answer is required. Defendants admit the allegations contained in this paragraph only to the extent the language accurately quotes particular statutes, regulations, administrative rules, and case authorities in their full and proper context. Except as so expressly admitted, Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this paragraph.
- 24. Defendants neither admit nor deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 24 for the reason that such allegations are legal conclusions to which no answer is required. Defendants admit the allegations contained in this paragraph only to the extent the language accurately quotes particular statutes, regulations, administrative rules, and case authorities in their full and proper context. Except as so expressly admitted, Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this paragraph.
- 25. Defendants neither admit nor deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 25 for the reason that such allegations are legal conclusions to which no answer is required. Defendants admit the allegations contained in this paragraph only to the extent the language accurately quotes particular statutes, regulations, administrative rules, and case authorities in their full and proper

28

.25

26

27

context. Except as so expressly admitted, Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this paragraph.

- 26. Defendants neither admit nor deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 26 for the reason that such allegations are legal conclusions to which no answer is required. Defendants admit the allegations contained in this paragraph only to the extent the language accurately quotes particular statutes, regulations, administrative rules, and case authorities in their full and proper context. Except as so expressly admitted, Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this paragraph.
- 27. Defendants neither admit nor deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 27 for the reason that such allegations are legal conclusions to which no answer is required. Defendants admit the allegations contained in this paragraph only to the extent the language accurately quotes particular statutes, regulations, administrative rules, and case authorities in their full and proper context. Except as so expressly admitted, Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this paragraph.
- 28. Defendants neither admit nor deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 28 for the reason that such allegations are legal conclusions to which no answer is required. Defendants admit the allegations contained in this paragraph only to the extent the language accurately quotes particular statutes, regulations, administrative rules, and case authorities in their full and proper context. Except as so expressly admitted, Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this paragraph.
- 29. Defendants neither admit nor deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 29 for the reason that such allegations are legal conclusions to which no answer is required. Defendants admit the allegations contained in this paragraph only to the extent the language accurately quotes particular statutes, regulations, administrative rules, and case authorities in their full and proper context. Except as so expressly admitted, Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in this paragraph.
- 30. Defendants neither admit nor deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 30 for the reason that such allegations are legal conclusions to which no answer is required. Except as

- 6 -

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT BY DEFENDANTS (Case No.: 3:07-cv-04616-EDL)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

FIFTH SEPARATE DEFENSE

As a fifth and separate defense, Defendants allege, on information and belief, that the modifications to services requested by Plaintiff would fundamentally alter the nature of the services.

SIXTH SEPARATE DEFENSE

As a sixth and separate defense, Defendants allege, on information and belief, that the modifications to services requested by Plaintiff would cause an undue hardship.

SEVENTH SEPARATE DEFENSE

As a seventh and separate defense, Defendants allege that Plaintiff suffered no actual damages.

EIGHTH SEPARATE DEFENSE

As a eighth and separate defense, Defendants allege that Plaintiff's claims are barred by the equitable doctrine of unclean hands.

NINTH SEPARATE DEFENSE

As a ninth and separate defense, Defendants allege that Plaintiff's claims, and each of them, are barred, in whole or in part, because all actions taken with respect to Plaintiff were for legitimate, nondiscriminatory reasons.

TENTH SEPARATE DEFENSE

As a tenth and separate defense, Defendants allege that Plaintiff by her own conduct has waived any claims she may have against Defendants arising from the incidents asserted in his Complaint.

ELEVENTH SEPARATE DEFENSE

As a eleventh and separate defense, Defendants allege that the discriminatory conduct alleged by Plaintiff, if any, was not intentional.

TWELFTH SEPARATE DEFENSE

As a twelfth and separate defense, Defendants allege that all alleged conduct and activity of Defendants conformed to statutes, governmental regulations and industry standards existing at the time alleged in the Complaint.

-8-

THIRTEENTH SEPARATE DEFENSE

As an thirteenth and separate defense, Defendants allege that Plaintiff failed to exercise reasonable and ordinary care, caution or prudence for his own safety in order to avoid the alleged injuries, harm and damages of which he complains. Defendants allege that any injuries, harm or damages allegedly suffered by Plaintiff were the proximate result of Plaintiff's own negligence, acts, omissions or conduct, thereby barring Plaintiff's claim against Defendants.

FOURTEENTH SEPARATE DEFENSE

As a fourteenth and separate defense, Defendants allege that Plaintiff and others were careless and negligent with respect to the matters alleged in the Complaint, and such carelessness and negligence proximately contributed to the alleged injuries, losses and damages, if any, and Defendants are entitled to have the amount of any damages recovered by Plaintiff, if any, abated, reduced or eliminated to the extent that Plaintiff's own negligence and/or the conduct, acts or omissions of others caused or contributed to Plaintiff's injuries and damages.

FIFTEENTH SEPARATE DEFENSE

As a fifteenth and separate defense, Defendants allege that the alleged injuries, harm and damages, if any, were the proximate result of the sole negligence, acts, omissions or conduct of others, including but not limited to Plaintiff. Accordingly, under the provisions of Civil Code Section 1431.2, Defendants' liability for non-economic damages (including but not limited to pain, suffering, inconvenience, mental suffering, emotional distress, loss of society and companionship, loss of consortium, injury to reputation, and humiliation), if any, is limited in direct proportion to Defendants' percentage of fault.

SIXTEENTH SEPARATE DEFENSE

As a sixteenth and separate defense, Defendants allege that any and all injuries or damages claimed by Plaintiff were caused by risks of which Plaintiff was aware or reasonably should have been aware and which Plaintiff reasonably and voluntarily assumed.

SEVENTEENTH SEPARATE DEFENSE

As a seventeenth and separate defense, Defendants allege, on information and belief, that Plaintiff has failed to take all reasonable steps necessary to mitigate, minimize or avoid the

-9-

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT BY DEFENDANTS

(Case No.: 3:07-cv-04616-EDL)

| 1 | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| | damages she alleges to have suffered as a result of any alleged acts by Defendants. Defendants | | |
| | are entitled to have any sums to which Plaintiff is entitled, if any, reduced by said amount as | | |
| | Plaintiff reasonably could have mitigated, minimized or avoided. | | |
| | EIGHTEENTH SEPARATE DEFENSE | | |
| | As a eighteenth and separate defense, Defendants allege that Plaintiff has failed to state a | | |
| | claim against them for punitive and/or exemplary damages. California Civil Code section 3294. | | |
| | Because Plaintiff's Complaint is vague, ambiguous and written in conclusory terms, | | |
| | Defendants cannot fully anticipate all legal defenses that may be applicable to this action. | | |
| | Accordingly, Defendants reserve the right to assert additional legal defenses to the extent such | | |
| | defenses are applicable. | | |
| | WHEREFORE, Defendants pray as follows: | | |
| | 1. That Plaintiff take nothing by this action; | | |
| | 2. That judgment be entered in Defendants' favor; | | |
| | That Defendants recover their costs and attorneys' fees in this proceeding; and | | |
| | 4. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper. | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | DATED: October 18, 2007 HANSON BRIDGETT MARCUS VLAHOS & RUDY, LLP | | |
| | | | |
| | By: /s/ Kevin D. Reese, Esq. | | |
| | Kevin D. Reese Attorneys for Defendants | | |
| | FRIENDLY CAB COMPANY, INC.; FRIENDLY TRANSPORTATION dba | | |
| | FRIENDLY CAB COMPANY; BALJIT SINGH; SURINDER K. SINGH; and KEVIN | | |
| | ITO | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

- 10 -

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT BY DEFENDANTS (Case No.: 3:07-cv-04616-EDL)